ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT AUGUSTA DIV.

FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 2011 HAR -7 A 9 54

DUBLIN DIVISION

CLERK CACLOUS 50. DIST. OF SA

JEFFREY BRADFORD JONES, JR.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.	Ś	CV 313-005
MR. TOWNS, Unit Manager, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), to which objections have been filed. (Doc. no. 61.) The Magistrate Judge recommended that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed because he failed to properly exhaust his administrative remedies. (Doc. no. 56.) In his lengthy objections, Plaintiff merely reiterates his arguments that he did in fact exhaust his administrative remedies or, in the alternative, that any failure on his part to exhaust is due to prison staff denying him access to the grievance process either altogether or by denying him steps of the appeal process. (See generally doc. no. 61.) Because the Magistrate Judge thoroughly addressed these arguments (see doc. no. 56 at 8-10), Plaintiff's objections do not provide any reason to deviate from the conclusions in the R&R. (See id. at 8-10.) Accordingly, the Magistrate Judge's R&R is ADOPTED as the opinion of the Court. Therefore, Plaintiff's motions to strike are DENIED (doc. nos.

34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40), Defendants' motion to dismiss is **GRANTED** (doc. no. 27), and this case is **DISMISSED** and **CLOSED**.

SO ORDERED this **2014**, at Augusta, Georgia.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUNGE